

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,372	02/27/2002	Tatsuoki Kohno	219995US0TTCRD	4786
22850	7590 05/12/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			WEINER, LAURA S	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Antique Commence	10/083,372	KOHNO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Laura S. Weiner	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ntn tne correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	<u>0 March 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-8,10 and 12-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-8,10 and 12-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Untice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draitsperson's Faterit Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4-28-05</u> . Septent and Trademark Office.		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/083,372 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3-10-05 have been fully considered but they are not 1. persuasive. Kolb et al. teaches an electrolyte comprising a nonaqueous solvent, propylene carbonate; an electrolyte salt and a macromolecular material added such as PMMA or polyethylene oxide. Kolb et al. goes on to teach that two electrolytic solutions were prepared with PMMA comprising 5wt% of the electrolytic solution in which the first solution had a viscosity of 2733 cps while the second solution had a viscosity of 1742 cps. Each of these electrolytes contain a viscosity which is well within the claimed viscosity range of 7 cP to 30,000 cP. Kolb et al. teaches in column 7, lines 50-55, that the polyethylene oxide has a molecular weight of at least 300,000 and in column 7, lines 16-29, that the molecular weight of PMMA used was 996,000 and 350,000. Claim 8 claims that the average molecular weight of the maromolecular material is in the range of 1 x10³ to 1 x 10⁸ in which polyethylene oxide and PMMA taught by Kolb et al. is within. Applicant argues that Kolb et al. teaches a gel electrolyte and the claimed invention is a liquid-electrolyte which is a fluid-but as-explained above it doesn't matter what one calls the solution but what is key is that Kolb teaches an electrolyte which has the claimed viscosity so the teaching reads on the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,372 Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kolb et al. (6,080,282).

Kolb et al. teaches in column 3, lines 20-40, an electrolytic solution comprising a polymerizable electrolyte material and a reinforcement polymer, poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA). Kolb et al. teaches that PMMA is used to vary the viscosity of the solution or mechanical properties. Kolb et al. teaches in column 7, line 36 to column 8, line 6, an electrolytic solution for use as a gel electrolyte in an electrolytic cell comprising a polymerizable electrolyte material including polyethylene oxide (PEO), a reinforcement polymer including poly(methylmethacrylate), a solvent, a salt, etc. The electrolyte solution has a viscosity in which the electrolytic solution further includes a means for controlling the viscosity. Kolb et al. teaches in column 4, lines 1-11, that the addition of PEO to the polymerizable electrolyte material may further increase the viscosity of the electrolytic solution. Kolb et al. teaches in column 3, lines 46-48, that the solvent may comprise any conventional solvent such as be propylene carbonate. Kolb et al. teaches in column 7, lines 16-28, a further test was performed wherein the molecular weight of PMMA was varied to illustrate control over the viscosity of the electrolytic solution. In this test, two electrolytic solutions were prepared with PMMA comprising 5wt% of the electrolytic solution. The first solution had a viscosity of 2733 cps while the second solution had a viscosity of 1742 cps.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 2-4, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kolb et al. (6,080,282).

Kolb et al. teaches in column 7, line 36 to column 8, line 6, an electrolytic solution for use as a gel electrolyte in an electrolytic cell comprising a polymerizable electrolyte material including polyethylene oxide (PEO), a reinforcement polymer including poly(methylmethacrylate), a solvent, a salt, etc. The electrolyte solution has a viscosity in which the electrolytic solution further includes a means for controlling the viscosity. Kolb et al. teaches in column 4, lines 1-11, that the addition of PEO to the polymerizable electrolyte material may further increase the viscosity of the electrolytic solution. Kolb et al. teaches in column 3, lines 46-48, that the solvent may comprise any conventional solvent such as be propylene carbonate. Kolb et al. teaches in column 7, lines 16-28, that PMMA was varied to illustrate control over the viscosity of the electrolytic solution. A first solution had a viscosity of 2733 cps and a second solution had a viscosity of 1742 cps.

Since Kolb et al. teaches the same_nonaqueous liquid_electrolyte comprising_the same macromolecular material, the same nonaqueous solvent and an electrolyte, then inherently the same electrolyte having a viscosity at 20 degrees C of 7 cP to 30,000 cP or 50 cP to 10,000 cP at a shear rate of 20 s-1 or 7 cP to 10,000 cP at a shear rate of 20 s-1 or a fluid which exhibits non-Newtonian properties or a fluid whose apparent viscosity at 20 degrees C decreases with the increase of the shear rate or the

Application/Control Number: 10/083,372

Art Unit: 1745

macromolecular material has a ratio of ion conductivity to viscosity at 20 degrees C is < 0.1 must also be obtained.

In addition, the presently claimed property of electrolyte having a viscosity at 20 degrees C of 7 cP to 30,000 cP or 50 cP to 10,000 cP at a shear rate of 20 s-1 or 7 cP to 10,000 cP at a shear rate of 20 s-1 or a fluid which exhibits non-Newtonian properties or a fluid whose apparent viscosity at 20 degrees C decreases with the increase of the shear rate or the macromolecular material has a ratio of ion conductivity to viscosity at 20 degrees C is < 0.1 would have obviously have been present once the Kolb et al. product is provided. *In re Best, 195 USPQ 433 (CCPA 1977)*.

4. Claims 7-8, 10, 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolb et al. (6,080,282) in view of Sasaki et al. (5,556,721).

Kolb et al. teaches the claimed invention as explained above. Kolb et al. teaches in column 7, line 36 to column 8, line 6, an electrolytic solution for use as a gel electrolyte in an electrolytic cell comprising a polymerizable electrolyte material including polyethylene oxide (PEO), a reinforcement polymer including poly(methylmethacrylate), a-solvent, a salt, etc. Kolb-et-al.-teaches in column-7, lines 50-55, that the polyethylene oxide has a molecular weight of at least 300,000 and in column 7, lines 16-29, that the molecular weight of PMMA used was 996,000 and 350,000.

Kolb et al. discloses the claimed invention except for specifically teaching that the solvent contains gamma-butyrolactone.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,372

Art Unit: 1745

Sasaki et al. teaches a nonaqueous electrolyte battery comprising a negative electrode, a positive electrode and a nonaqueous electrolyte. Sasaki et al. teaches in column 7, lines 47-60, that the electrolyte solution comprises an organic solvent such as gamma-butyrolactone, propylene carbonate, ethylene carbonate, etc. and a lithium ion-conductive nonaqueous electrolyte such as a solid polymer electrolyte comprising polyethylene oxide, etc.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use gamma-butyrolactone instead of propylene carbonate in a electrolyte solution comprising polyethylene oxide because Sasaki et al. teaches that this is known in the art.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO-MONTHS-of the-mailing-date-of-this-final-action and-the-advisory-action-is-not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura S Weiner whose telephone number is 571-272-1294. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Laura S Weiner Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

May 10, 2005